A CONSENSYS DILIGENCE AUDIT REPORT



Date	October 2019
Lead Auditor	Steve Marx
<b>Co-auditors</b>	Alex Wade

## **1** Summary

ConsenSys Diligence conducted a security audit on the Ox staking contracts. These contracts control the distribution of fees collected by the Ox Exchange to ZRX stakers.

The Ox v3 Exchange audit is good background reading to understand this report.

## 2 Audit Scope

The scope of this audit was the staking project within the Ox monorepo.

This audit covered the following files from commit b8e01d7 of the OxProject/Ox-monorepo:

File Name	SHA-1 Hash
ReadOnlyProxy.sol	6ec64526446ebff87ec5528ee3b2786 338cc4fa0
Staking.sol	67ddcb9ab75e433882e28d91868159 90b7084c61
StakingProxy.sol	248f562d014d0b1ca6de3212966af3e 52a7deef1
ZrxVault.sol	6c3249314868a2f5d0984122e8ab141 3a5b521c9
fees/MixinExchangeFees.sol	9ac3b696baa8ba09305cfc83d3c08f 17d9d528e1
fees/MixinExchangeManager.sol	46f48136a49919cdb5588dc1b3d64c9 77c3367f2
immutable/MixinConstants.sol	97c2ac83ef97a09cfd485cb0d4b119b a0902cc79
immutable/MixinDeploymentCo nstants.sol	424f22c45df8e494c4a78f239ea07ff0 400d694b
immutable/MixinStorage.sol	8ad475b0e424e7a3ff65eedf2e999cb a98f414c8
interfaces/IStaking.sol	ec1d7f214e3fd40e14716de412deee976 9359bc0
interfaces/IStakingEvents.sol	25f16b814c4df9d2002316831c3f727d 858456c4
interfaces/IStakingProxy.sol	02e35c6b51e08235b2a01d30a8082d 60d9d61bee
interfaces/IStorage.sol	eeaa798c262b46d1874e904cf7de042 3d4132cee
interfaces/IStorageInit.sol	b9899b03e474ea5adc3b4818a4357f7 1b8d288d4
interfaces/IStructs.sol	fee17d036883d641afb1222b75eec842 7f3cdb96

File Name	SHA-1 Hash
interfaces/IZrxVault.sol	9067154651675317e000cfa92de9741 e50c1c809
libs/LibCobbDouglas.sol	242d62d71cf8bc09177d240c0db59b8 3f9bb4e96
libs/LibFixedMath.sol	36311e7be09a947fa4e6cd8c544cacd 13d65833c
libs/LibFixedMathRichErrors.sol	39cb3e07bbce3272bbf090e87002d5 834d288ec2
libs/LibProxy.sol	29abe52857a782c8da39b053cc54e0 2e295c1ae2
libs/LibSafeDowncast.sol	ae16ed2573d64802793320253b060b 9507729c3d
libs/LibStakingRichErrors.sol	f5868ef6066a18277c932e59c0a516e c58920b00
stake/MixinStake.sol	ade59ed356fe72521ffd2ef12ff8896c8 52f11f8
stake/MixinStakeBalances.sol	cde6ca1a6200570ba18dd6d392ffabf 68c2bb464
stake/MixinStakeStorage.sol	cadf34d9d341efd2a85dd13ec3cd4ce 8383e0f73
staking_pools/MixinCumulativeR ewards.sol	664ea3e35376c81492457dc17832a4d 0d602c8ae
staking_pools/MixinStakingPool. sol	74ba9cb2db29b8dd6376d112e9452d1 17a391b18
staking_pools/MixinStakingPool Rewards.sol	a3b4e5c9b1c3568c94923e2dd9a930 90ebdf8536
sys/MixinAbstract.sol	99fd4870c20d8fa03cfa30e8055d3df b348ed5cd
sys/MixinFinalizer.sol	cc658ed07241c1804cec75b12203be3 cd8657b9b

File Name	SHA-1 Hash
sys/MixinParams.sol	7b395f4da7ed787d7aa4eb915f153777 25ff8168
sys/MixinScheduler.sol	2fab6b83a6f9e1d0dd1b1bdcea4b129 d166aef1d

The audit activities can be grouped into the following three broad categories:

- 1. Security: Identifying security related issues within the contract.
- 2. **Architecture:** Evaluating the system architecture through the lens of established smart contract best practices.
- 3. **Code quality:** A full review of the contract source code. The primary areas of focus include:
  - Correctness
  - Readability
  - Scalability
  - Code complexity
  - Quality of test coverage

## **3 System Overview**

The staking contracts are a mechanism for distributed protocol fees collected by the Ox Exchange. Fees are distributed to pools of ZRX stakeholders according to a formula that takes into account:

- 1. how much ZRX is being staked by the pool and
- 2. the amount of protocol fees generated by liquidity providers ("makers") in that pool.

The v3 staking specification is the best available documentation for understanding how the staking contract system works.

## **4 Risk Assessment**

The code that handles staking is very complex. We remain uncomfortable with parts of the code that were too difficult to audit effectively. That said,

this doesn't mean it's unsafe to interact with the contract. There are three types of interactions where funds are potentially at risk:

- 1. ZRX deposits and withdrawals by stakers.
- 2. The staking contracts hold WETH (wrapped ether) that is collected as protocol fees from the Exchange contracts.
- 3. Collected WETH is distributed to stakers according to the internal logic of the staking contract.

We can assess the risk associated with all three:

- ZRX deposits and withdrawals make use of a fairly simple ZrxVault contract, which includes a fail-safe mechanism which can be triggered by Ox if needed to allow stakers to directly withdraw their ZRX. Excluding malicious action by Ox themselves, ZRX deposits and withdrawals have low risk of fund loss.
- Although WETH needs to be approved to the staking contracts, the only WETH actually held by the staking contracts is what is collected in payProtocolFee, which is invoked by the Exchange. There's low risk of WETH being inappropriately transferred from users.
- 3. Most of the complexity of the staking contracts deals with how the collected fees are distributed. This is the part of the code the audit team has less confidence in, meaning there's a relatively higher risk of errors being made here.

This risk assessment means that the most likely type of bug to encounter is one where rewards are paid out incorrectly, or a bug prevents paying out rewards altogether. Those outcomes are no worse for stakers than simply not staking at all.

## **5** Issues

Each issue has an assigned severity:

- Minor issues are subjective in nature. They are typically suggestions around best practices or readability. Code maintainers should use their own judgment as to whether to address such issues.
- Medium issues are objective in nature but are not security vulnerabilities. These should be addressed unless there is a clear reason not to.

- Major issues are security vulnerabilities that may not be directly exploitable or may require certain conditions in order to be exploited. All major issues should be addressed.
- **Critical** issues are directly exploitable security vulnerabilities that need to be fixed.

### 5.1 Anyone can remove a maker's pending pool join status

Major √ Fixed

#### Resolution

This is fixed in OxProject/Ox-monorepo#2250 by removing the two-step handshake for a maker to join a pool.

#### Description

Using behavior described in issue 5.6, it is possible to delete the *pending* join status of *any maker in any pool* by passing in NIL\_POOL\_ID to removeMakerFromStakingPool. Note that the attacker in the following example must not be a confirmed member of any pool:

The attacker calls addMakerToStakingPool(NIL\_POOL\_ID, makerAddress). In this case, makerAddress can be almost any address, as long as it has not called joinStakingPoolAsMaker (an easy example is address(0)). The key goal of this call is to increment the number of makers in pool O:

## code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStakingPool. sol:L262

\_poolById[poolId].numberOfMakers = uint256(pool.numberOfMakers).safeAdd

2. The attacker calls removeMakerFromStakingPool(NIL\_POOL\_ID, targetAddress). This function queries getStakingPoolIdOfMaker(targetAddress) and compares it to the passed-in pool id. Because the target is an unconfirmed maker, their staking pool id is NIL\_POOL\_ID :

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStakingPool. sol:L166-L173

```
bytes32 makerPoolId = getStakingPoolIdOfMaker(makerAddress);
if (makerPoolId != poolId) {
   LibRichErrors.rrevert(LibStakingRichErrors.MakerPoolAssignmentError
   LibStakingRichErrors.MakerPoolAssignmentErrorCodes.MakerAddress!
   makerAddress,
   makerPoolId
   ));
}
```

The check passes, and the target's \_poolJoinedByMakerAddress struct is deleted. Additionally, the number of makers in pool 0 is decreased:

## code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStakingPool.sol: L176-L177

```
delete _poolJoinedByMakerAddress[makerAddress];
_poolById[poolId].numberOfMakers = uint256(_poolById[poolId].numberOfMakers)
```

This can be used to prevent any makers from being confirmed into a pool.

#### Recommendation

See issue 5.6.

# 5.2 Delegated stake weight reduction can be bypassed by using an external contract Major Won't Fix



This can still approximate the intended behavior and should give a very slight edge to pool operators that own their stake.

#### Description

Staking pools allow ZRX holders to delegate their staked ZRX to a market maker in exchange for a configurable percentage of the stake reward (accrued over time through exchange fees). When staking as expected through the Ox contracts, the protocol favors ZRX staked directly by the operator of the pool, assigning a lower weight (90%) to ZRX staked by delegation. In return, delegated members receive a configurable portion of the operator's stake reward.

Using a smart contract, it is possible to represent ZRX owned by any number of parties as ZRX staked by a single party. This contract can serve as the operator of a pool with a single member—itself. The advantages are clear for ZRX holders:

- ZRX staked through this contract will be given full (100%) stake weight.
- Because stake weight is a factor in reward allocation, the ZRX staked through this contract receives a higher proportion of the stake reward.

#### Recommendation

Remove stake weight reduction for delegated stake.

# 5.3 MixinParams.setParams bypasses safety checks made by standard StakingProxy upgrade path. Medium

 $\checkmark$  Fixed

#### Resolution

This is fixed in OxProject/Ox-monorepo#2279. Now the parameter validity is asserted in setParams().

#### Description

The staking contracts use a set of configurable parameters to determine the behavior of various parts of the system. The parameters dictate the duration of epochs, the ratio of delegated stake weight vs operator stake, the minimum pool stake, and the Cobb-Douglas numerator and denominator. These parameters can be configured in two ways:

- 1. An authorized address can deploy a new staking contract (perhaps with altered parameters), and configure the stakingProxy to delegate to this new contract. This is done by calling
  - o StakingProxy.detachStakingContract:

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/StakingProxy.sol:L82-L90

```
/// @dev Detach the current staking contract.
/// Note that this is callable only by an authorized address.
function detachStakingContract()
    external
    onlyAuthorized
{
    stakingContract = NIL_ADDRESS;
    emit StakingContractDetachedFromProxy();
}
```

o StakingProxy.attachStakingContract(newContract) :

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/StakingProxy.sol:L72-L80

```
/// @dev Attach a staking contract; future calls will be delegated to
/// Note that this is callable only by an authorized address.
/// @param _stakingContract Address of staking contract.
function attachStakingContract(address _stakingContract)
        external
        onlyAuthorized
{
    __attachStakingContract(_stakingContract);
}
```

During the latter call, the stakingProxy performs a delegate call to staking.init, then checks the values of the parameters set during initialization:

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/StakingProxy.sol:L208-L219

```
// Call `init()` on the staking contract to initialize storage.
(bool didInitSucceed, bytes memory initReturnData) = stakingContract.de
    abi.encodeWithSelector(IStorageInit(0).init.selector)
);
if (!didInitSucceed) {
    assembly {
        revert(add(initReturnData, 0x20), mload(initReturnData))
      }
}
// Assert initialized storage values are valid
_assertValidStorageParams();
```

2. An authorized address can call MixinParams.setParams at any time and set the contract's parameters to arbitrary values.

The latter method introduces the possibility of setting unsafe or nonsensical values for the contract parameters: epochDurationInSeconds can be set to 0, cobbDouglassAlphaNumerator can be larger than cobbDouglassAlphaDenominator, rewardDelegatedStakeWeight can be set to a value over 100% of the staking reward, and more.

Note, too, that by using MixinParams.setParams to set all parameters to 0, the staking contract can be re-initialized by way of staking.init. Additionally, it can be re-attached by way of stakingProxy.attachStakingContract, as the delegatecall to staking.init will succeed.

#### Recommendation

Ensure that calls to setParams check that the provided values are within the same range currently enforced by the proxy.

### **5.4 Authorized addresses can indefinitely stall** ZrxVaultBackstop catastrophic failure mode Medium

#### **Resolution**

This is fixed in OxProject/Ox-monorepo#2295 by removing the ZrxVaultBackstop and read-only mode altogether.

√ Fixed

#### Description

The ZrxVaultBackstop contract was added to allow anyone to activate the staking system's "catastrophic failure" mode if the stakingProxy is in "readonly" mode for at least 40 days. To enable this behavior, the stakingProxy contract was modified to track the last timestamp at which "read-only" mode was activated. This is done by way of StakingProxy.setReadOnlyMode :

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/StakingProxy.sol:L92-L104

```
/// @dev Set read-only mode (state cannot be changed).
function setReadOnlyMode(bool shouldSetReadOnlyMode)
    external
    onlyAuthorized
{
    // solhint-disable-next-line not-rely-on-time
    uint96 timestamp = block.timestamp.downcastToUint96();
    if (shouldSetReadOnlyMode) {
        stakingContract = readOnlyProxy;
        readOnlyState = IStructs.ReadOnlyState({
            isReadOnlyModeSet: true,
            lastSetTimestamp: timestamp
        });
    }
}
```

Because the timestamp is updated even if "read-only" mode is already active, any authorized address can prevent ZrxVaultBackstop from activating catastrophic failure mode by repeatedly calling setReadOnlyMode.

#### Recommendation

If "read-only" mode is already active, setReadOnlyMode(true) should result in a no-op.

# 5.5 Pool O can be used to temporarily prevent makers from joining another pool Medium Fixed

#### Resolution

This is fixed in OxProject/Ox-monorepo#2250. Pool IDs now start at 1.

#### Description

removeMakerFromStakingPool reverts if the number of makers currently in the pool is 0, due to safeSub catching an underflow:

## code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStakingPool.sol: L177

```
_poolById[poolId].numberOfMakers = uint256(_poolById[poolId].numberOfMakers)
```

Because of this, edge behavior described in issue 5.6 can allow an attacker to temporarily prevent makers from joining a pool:

1. The attacker calls addMakerToStakingPool(NIL\_POOL\_ID, victimAddress). This sets the victim's MakerPoolJoinStatus.confirmed field to true and increases the number of makers in pool 0 to 1:

## code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStakingPool. sol:L257-L262

```
poolJoinStatus = IStructs.MakerPoolJoinStatus({
    poolId: poolId,
    confirmed: true
});
_poolJoinedByMakerAddress[makerAddress] = poolJoinStatus;
_poolById[poolId].numberOfMakers = uint256(pool.numberOfMakers).safeAdd
```

 The attacker calls removeMakerFromStakingPool(NIL\_POOL\_ID, randomAddress). The net effect of this call simply decreases the number of makers in pool 0 by 1, back to 0:

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStakingPool. sol:L176-L177

```
delete _poolJoinedByMakerAddress[makerAddress];
_poolById[poolId].numberOfMakers = uint256(_poolById[poolId].numberOfMal
```

Typically, the victim should be able to remove themselves from pool 0 by calling removeMakerFromStakingPool(NIL\_POOL\_ID, victimAddress), but because the attacker can set the pool's number of makers to 0, the aforementioned underflow causes this call to fail. The victim must first understand what is happening in MixinStakingPool before they are able to remedy the situation:

- 1. The victim must call addMakerToStakingPool(NIL\_POOL\_ID, randomAddress2) to increase pool O's number of makers back to 1.
- 2. The victim can now call removeMakerFromStakingPool(NIL\_POOL\_ID, victimAddress), and remove their confirmed status.

Additionally, if the victim in question currently has a pending join, the attacker can use issue 5.1 to first remove their pending status before locking them in pool 0.

#### Recommendation

See issue 5.1.

## **5.6 Recommendation: Fix weak assertions in** MixinStakingPool **stemming from use of** NIL\_POOL\_ID

### Resolution

This is fixed in OxProject/Ox-monorepo#2250. Pool IDs now start at 1.

### Description

The modifier onlyStakingPoolOperatorOrMaker(poolId) is used to authorize actions taken on a given pool. The sender must be either the operator or a confirmed maker of the pool in question. However, the modifier queries getStakingPoolIdOfMaker(maker), which returns NIL\_POOL\_ID if the maker's MakerPoolJoinStatus struct is not confirmed. This implicitly makes anyone a maker of the nonexistent "pool O": code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStakingPool.sol: L189-L200

```
function getStakingPoolIdOfMaker(address makerAddress)
   public
   view
   returns (bytes32)
{
     IStructs.MakerPoolJoinStatus memory poolJoinStatus = _poolJoinedByMakerA
     if (poolJoinStatus.confirmed) {
        return poolJoinStatus.poolId;
     } else {
        return NIL_POOL_ID;
     }
}
```

joinStakingPoolAsMaker(poolId) makes no existence checks on the provided pool id, and allows makers to become pending makers in nonexistent pools.

addMakerToStakingPool(poolId, maker) makes no existence checks on the provided pool id, allowing makers to be added to nonexistent pools (as long as the sender is an operator or maker in the pool).

#### Recommendation

- 1. Avoid use of 0x00...00 for NIL\_POOL\_ID. Instead, use 2\*\*256 1.
- Implement stronger checks for pool existence. Each time a pool id is supplied, it should be checked that the pool id is between 0 and nextPoolId.
- 3. onlyStakingPoolOperatorOrMaker should revert if poolId == NIL\_POOL\_ID Or if poolId is not in the valid range: (O, nextPoolId).

### 5.7 LibFixedMath functions fail to catch a number of

overflows Medium V Fixed

#### Resolution

This is fixed in OxProject/Ox-monorepo#2255 and OxProject/Oxmonorepo#2311.

#### Description

The \_\_add(), \_\_mul(), and \_\_div() functions perform arithmetic on 256-bit signed integers, and they all miss some specific overflows.

#### **Addition Overflows**

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/libs/LibFixedMath.sol:L359-L376

```
/// @dev Adds two numbers, reverting on overflow.
function _add(int256 a, int256 b) private pure returns (int256 c) {
    c = a + b;
    if (c > 0 && a < 0 && b < 0) {
        LibRichErrors.rrevert(LibFixedMathRichErrors.BinOpError(
            LibFixedMathRichErrors.BinOpErrorCodes.SUBTRACTION_OVERFLOW,
            а,
            b
        ));
    }
    if (c < 0 && a > 0 && b > 0) {
        LibRichErrors.rrevert(LibFixedMathRichErrors.BinOpError(
            LibFixedMathRichErrors.BinOpErrorCodes.ADDITION_OVERFLOW,
            а,
            b
        ));
    }
}
```

The two overflow conditions it tests for are:

- 1. Adding two positive numbers shouldn't result in a negative number.
- 2. Adding two negative numbers shouldn't result in a positive number.

\_\_add(-2\*\*255, -2\*\*255) returns () without reverting because the overflow didn't match either of the above conditions.

#### **Multiplication Overflows**

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/libs/LibFixedMath.sol:L332-L345

The function checks via division for most types of overflows, but it fails to catch one particular case. \_\_mul(-2\*\*255, -1) returns -2\*\*255 without error.

#### **Division Overflows**

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/libs/LibFixedMath.sol:L347-L357

```
/// @dev Returns the division of two numbers, reverting on division by zero.
function _div(int256 a, int256 b) private pure returns (int256 c) {
    if (b == 0) {
        LibRichErrors.rrevert(LibFixedMathRichErrors.BinOpError(
        LibFixedMathRichErrors.BinOpErrorCodes.DIVISION_BY_ZERO,
        a,
        b
        ));
    }
    c = a / b;
}
```

It does not check for overflow. Due to this, \_\_div(-2\*\*255, -1) erroneously returns -2\*\*255.

#### Recommendation

For addition, the specific case of  $\__add(-2**255, -2**255)$  can be detected by using a >= 0 check instead of > 0, but the below seems like a clearer check for all cases:

```
// if b is negative, then the result should be less than a
if (b < 0 && c >= a) { /* subtraction overflow */ }
// if b is positive, then the result should be greater than a
if (b > 0 && c <= a) { /* addition overflow */ }</pre>
```

For multiplication and division, the specific values of <u>-2\*\*255</u> and <u>-1</u> are the only missing cases, so that can be explicitly checked in the <u>\_\_mul()</u> and <u>\_\_div()</u> functions.

## **5.8 Recommendation: Remove** MixinAbstract and fold MixinStakingPoolRewards into MixinFinalizer and MixinStake Minor Won't Fix

#### Resolution

The development team investigated this suggestion, but they were ultimately uncomfortable making such a large change in this cycle. This can be considered again in a future version of the code.

#### Description

After implementing issue 5.12, issue 5.11, issue 5.10, and issue 5.9, MixinAbstract serves little utility except as a way to pull functionality from MixinFinalizer into MixinStakingPoolRewards. The abstract pattern adds unnecessary cognitive overhead and should be eliminated if possible. One possible method for this is as follows:

1. Move MixinStakingPoolRewards.withdrawDelegatorRewards into MixinStake. As per the comments above this function, its behavior is very similar to functions in MixinStake :

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStakingPool Rewards.sol:L35-L56

2. Move the rest of the MixinStakingPoolRewards functions into MixinFinalizer. This change allows the MixinStakingPoolRewards and MixinAbstract files to be removed. MixinStakingPool can now inherit directly from MixinFinalizer.

After implementing all recommendations mentioned here, the inheritance graph of the staking contracts is much simpler. The previous graph is pictured here:



The new graph is pictured here:



Further improvements may consider:

- 1. Having MixinStorage inherit MixinConstants and IStakingEvents
- 2. Moving \_loadCurrentBalance into MixinStorage . Currently MixinStakeBalances only inherits from MixinStakeStorage because of this function.
- 3. After implementing the above, MixinExchangeFees is no longer dependent ON MixinStakingPool and can inherit directly from MixinExchangeManager

A sample inheritance graph including the above is pictured below:



# **5.9 Recommendation: remove confusing access to** activePoolsThisEpoch Minor **Fixed**

#### Resolution

This is fixed in OxProject/Ox-monorepo#2276. Along with other state cleanup, these functions and epoch % 2 indexing altogether were removed.

#### Description

MixinFinalizer provides two functions to access activePoolsThisEpoch :

1. \_getActivePoolsFromEpoch returns a storage pointer to the mapping:

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/sys/MixinFinalizer.sol:L211-L225

/// @dev Get a mapping of active pools from an epoch.
/// This uses the formula `epoch % 2` as the epoch index in order
/// to reuse state, because we only need to remember, at most, two
/// epochs at once.
/// @return activePools The pools that were active in `epoch`.
<pre>function _getActivePoolsFromEpoch(</pre>
uint256 epoch
)
internal
view
returns (mapping ( <b>bytes32</b> => IStructs.ActivePool) storage activePool
{
activePools = _activePoolsByEpoch[epoch % <b>2</b> ];
return activePools;
}

2. \_getActivePoolFromEpoch invokes \_getActivePoolsFromEpoch , then loads an ActivePool struct from a passed-in poolId :

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/sys/MixinFinalizer.sol:L195-L209

```
/// @dev Get an active pool from an epoch by its ID.
/// @param epoch The epoch the pool was/will be active in.
/// @param poolId The ID of the pool.
/// @return pool The pool with ID `poolId` that was active in `epoch`.
function _getActivePoolFromEpoch(
    uint256 epoch,
    bytes32 poolId
)
    internal
    view
    returns (IStructs.ActivePool memory pool)
{
    pool = _getActivePoolsFromEpoch(epoch)[poolId];
    return pool;
}
```

Ultimately, the two functions are syntax sugar for activePoolsThisEpoch[epoch % 2], with the latter also accessing a value within the mapping. Because of the naming similarity, and because one calls the other, this abstraction is more confusing that simply accessing the state variable directly.

Additionally, by removing these functions and adopting the long-form syntax, MixinExchangeFees no longer needs to inherit MixinFinalizer.

### 5.10 Recommendation: remove

MixinFinalizer.\_getUnfinalizedPoolRewardsFromS
tate

Minor Won't Fix

#### **Resolution**

The development team decided to keep this function for its optimization on storage loads. It's will still be used internally by getters that are important for client-side code.

#### Description

MixinFinalizer.\_getUnfinalizedPoolRewardsFromState is a simple wrapper around the library function LibCobbDouglas.cobbDouglas:

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/sys/MixinFinalizer.sol:L250-L286

```
/// @dev Computes the reward owed to a pool during finalization.
/// @param pool The active pool.
/// @param state The current state of finalization.
/// @return rewards Unfinalized rewards for this pool.
function _getUnfinalizedPoolRewardsFromState(
    IStructs.ActivePool memory pool,
    IStructs.UnfinalizedState memory state
)
    private
    view
    returns (uint256 rewards)
{
    // There can't be any rewards if the pool was active or if it has
   // no stake.
    if (pool.feesCollected == 0) {
        return rewards;
    }
    // Use the cobb-douglas function to compute the total reward.
    rewards = LibCobbDouglas.cobbDouglas(
        state.rewardsAvailable,
        pool.feesCollected,
        state.totalFeesCollected,
        pool.weightedStake,
        state.totalWeightedStake,
        cobbDouglasAlphaNumerator,
        cobbDouglasAlphaDenominator
    );
    // Clip the reward to always be under
    // `rewardsAvailable - totalRewardsPaid`,
    // in case cobb-douglas overflows, which should be unlikely.
    uint256 rewardsRemaining = state.rewardsAvailable.safeSub(state.totalRew
    if (rewardsRemaining < rewards) {</pre>
        rewards = rewardsRemaining;
    }
}
```

After implementing issue 5.11, this function is only called a single time, in MixinFinalizer.finalizePool:

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/sys/MixinFinalizer.sol:L119-L129

```
// Noop if the pool was not active or already finalized (has no fees).
if (pool.feesCollected == 0) {
    return;
}
// Clear the pool state so we don't finalize it again, and to recoup
// some gas.
delete _getActivePoolsFromEpoch(prevEpoch)[poolId];
// Compute the rewards.
uint256 rewards = _getUnfinalizedPoolRewardsFromState(pool, state);
```

Because it is only used a single time, and because it obfuscates an essential library call during the finalization process, the function should be removed and folded into finalizePool. Additionally, the first check for pool.feesCollected == 0 can be removed, as this case is covered in finalizePool already (see above).

### **5.11 Recommendation: remove complicating getters from** MixinStakingPoolRewards Minor Won't Fix

#### Resolution

These getters are useful for client-side code, such as the staking interface.

#### Description

MixinStakingPoolRewards has two external view functions that contribute complexity to essential functions, as well as the overall inheritance tree:

1. computeRewardBalanceOfOperator, used to compute the reward balance of a pool's operator on an unfinalized pool:

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStakingPool Rewards.sol:L55-L69



2. computeRewardBalanceOfDelegator, used to compute the reward balance of a delegator for an unfinalized pool:

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStakingPool Rewards.sol:L80-L99

```
/// @dev Computes the reward balance in ETH of a specific member of a poo
/// @param poolId Unique id of pool.
/// @param member The member of the pool.
/// @return totalReward Balance in ETH.
function computeRewardBalanceOfDelegator(bytes32 poolId, address member
    external
    view
   returns (uint256 reward)
{
    IStructs.Pool memory pool = _poolById[poolId];
    // Get any unfinalized rewards.
    (uint256 unfinalizedTotalRewards, uint256 unfinalizedMembersStake) :
        _getUnfinalizedPoolRewards(poolId);
    // Get the members' portion.
    (, uint256 unfinalizedMembersReward) = _computePoolRewardsSplit(
        pool.operatorShare,
        unfinalizedTotalRewards,
        unfinalizedMembersStake
    );
```

These two functions are the sole reason for the existence of

MixinFinalizer.\_getUnfinalizedPoolRewards , One of the two functions in

MixinAbstract:

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/sys/MixinAbstract.sol:L40-L52

```
/// @dev Computes the reward owed to a pool during finalization.
/// Does nothing if the pool is already finalized.
/// @param poolId The pool's ID.
/// @return totalReward The total reward owed to a pool.
/// @return membersStake The total stake for all non-operator members in
/// this pool.
function _getUnfinalizedPoolRewards(bytes32 poolId)
    internal
    view
    returns (
        uint256 totalReward,
        uint256 membersStake
    );
```

These functions also necessitate two additional parameters in

MixinStakingPoolRewards.\_computeDelegatorReward , which are used a single time to call \_computeUnfinalizedDelegatorReward :

## code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStakingPoolRew ards.sol:L253-L259

```
// 1/3 Unfinalized rewards earned in `currentEpoch - 1`.
reward = _computeUnfinalizedDelegatorReward(
    delegatedStake,
    _currentEpoch,
    unfinalizedMembersReward,
    unfinalizedMembersStake
);
```

Note that computeRewardBalanceOfOperator and computeRewardBalanceOfDelegator contain the only calls to \_computeDelegatorReward with nonzero values for the above parameters, unfinalizedMembersReward and unfinalizedMembersStake. For all essential functions, the call to \_computeUnfinalizedDelegatorReward is a no-op.

By removing the functions computeRewardBalanceOfOperator and computeRewardBalanceOfDelegator, the following simplifications can be made:

• \_getUnfinalizedPoolRewards Can be removed from both MixinAbstract and MixinFinalizer

- The parameters unfinalizedMembersReward and unfinalizedMembersStake can be removed from \_computeDelegatorReward
- The function \_computeUnfinalizedDelegatorReward Can be removed
- A branch of now-unused logic in \_computeDelegatorReward can be removed

### **5.12 Recommendation: remove unneeded dependency on** MixinStakeBalances Minor Won't Fix

#### Resolution

From the development team:

We're going to keep this abstraction to future-proof balance queries.

#### Description

MixinStakeBalances has two functions used by inheriting contracts:

1. getStakeDelegatedToPoolByOwner , which provides shorthand to access
\_delegatedStakeToPoolByOwner :

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/stake/MixinStakeBalances.sol:L 84-L95

```
/// @dev Returns the stake delegated to a specific staking pool, by a giv
/// @param staker of stake.
/// @param poolId Unique Id of pool.
/// @return Stake delegated to pool by staker.
function getStakeDelegatedToPoolByOwner(address staker, bytes32 poolId)
    public
    view
    returns (IStructs.StoredBalance memory balance)
{
    balance = _loadCurrentBalance(_delegatedStakeToPoolByOwner[staker][]
    return balance;
}
```

2. getTotalStakeDelegatedToPool, which provides shorthand to access
\_delegatedStakeByPoolId :

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/stake/MixinStakeBalances.sol:L 97-L108

Each of these functions is used only a single time:

1. MixinExchangeFees.payProtocolFee :

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/fees/MixinExchangeFees.sol:L78

uint256 poolStake = getTotalStakeDelegatedToPool(poolId).currentEpochBal

2. MixinExchangeFees.\_computeMembersAndWeightedStake :

## code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/fees/MixinExchangeFees.sol:L14 3-L146

```
uint256 operatorStake = getStakeDelegatedToPoolByOwner(
    _poolById[poolId].operator,
    poolId
).currentEpochBalance;
```

By replacing these function invocations in MixinExchangeFees with the long-form access to each state variable, MixinStakeBalances will no longer need to be included in the inheritance trees for several contracts.

## 5.13 Misleading MoveStake event when moving stake from UNDELEGATED to UNDELEGATED Minor V Fixed

#### Resolution

This is fixed in OxProject/Ox-monorepo#2280. If amount is a or the move is from UNDELEGATED to UNDELEGATED, the function performs an early return.

#### Description

Although moving stake between the same status (UNDELEGATED <=> UNDELEGATED ) should be a no-op, calls to movestake succeed even for invalid amount and nonsensical poolid. The resulting Movestake event can log garbage, potentially confusing those observing events.

#### Examples

When moving between UNDELEGATED and UNDELEGATED, each check and function call results in a no-op, save the final event:

- 1. Neither from nor to are StakeStatus.DELEGATED, so these checks are passed:
  - code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/stake/MixinStake.sol:L115-L129

```
if (from.status == IStructs.StakeStatus.DELEGATED) {
    _undelegateStake(
        from.poolId,
        staker,
        amount
    );
}
if (to.status == IStructs.StakeStatus.DELEGATED) {
    _delegateStake(
        to.poolId,
        staker,
        amount
    );
}
```

2. The primary state changing function, \_movestake, immediately returns because the from and to balance pointers are equivalent:

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/stake/MixinStakeStorage.sol:L4 7-L49

```
if (_arePointersEqual(fromPtr, toPtr)) {
    return;
}
```

3. Finally, the MoveStake event is invoked, which can log completely invalid values for amount, from.poolId, and to.poolId:

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/stake/MixinStake.sol:L141-L148

```
emit MoveStake(
    staker,
    amount,
    uint8(from.status),
    from.poolId,
    uint8(to.status),
    to.poolId
);
```

#### Recommendation

If amount is 0 or if moving between UNDELEGATED and UNDELEGATED, this function should no-op or revert. An explicit check for this case should be made near the start of the function.

# 5.14 The staking contracts contain several artifacts of a quickly-changing codebase Minor Fixed

#### **Resolution**

These issues were addressed in a variety of fixes, most notably OxProject/Ox-monorepo#2262.

#### Examples

- 1. address payable is used repeatedly, but payments use WETH:
  - MixinStakingPool.createStakingPool:

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStaking Pool.sol:L54

address payable operator = msg.sender;

O ZrxVault.stakingProxyAddress:

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/ZrxVault.sol:L38

address payable public stakingProxyAddress;

O ZrxVault.setStakingProxy :

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/ZrxVault.sol:L76

function setStakingProxy(address payable \_stakingProxyAddress)

o IZrxVault.setStakingProxy :

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/interfaces/IZrxVault.sol:L53

function setStakingProxy(address payable \_stakingProxyAddress)

o struct IStructs.Pool:

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/interfaces/IStructs.sol:L114

address payable operator;

• MixinStake.stake:

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/stake/MixinStake.sol:L38

address payable staker = msg.sender;

• MixinStake.unstake:

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/stake/MixinStake.sol:L63

address payable staker = msg.sender;

O MixinStake.moveStake :

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/stake/MixinStake.sol:L119

address payable staker = msg.sender;

O MixinStake.\_delegateStake :

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/stake/MixinStake.sol:L181

address payable staker,

O MixinStake.\_undelegateStake :

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/stake/MixinStake.sol:L210

address payable staker,

- 2. Some identifiers are used multiple times for different purposes:
  - o currentEpoch IS:
    - A state variable:

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/immutable/MixinStorag e.sol:L86

uint256 public currentEpoch = INITIAL\_EPOCH;

• A function parameter:

## code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStak ingPoolRewards.sol:L323

uint256 currentEpoch,

A struct field:

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/interfaces/IStructs.sol:L 62

uint32 currentEpoch;

- 3. Several comments are out of date:
  - Many struct comments reference fees and rewards denominated in ETH, while only WETH is used:

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/interfaces/IStructs.sol:L36-L38

/// @param rewardsAvailable Rewards (ETH) available to the epoch /// being finalized (the previous epoch). This is simply the k /// of the contract at the end of the epoch.

 UnfinalizedState.totalFeesCollected should specify that it is tracking fees attributed to a pool. Fees not attributed to a pool are still collected, but are not recorded:

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/interfaces/IStructs.sol:L41

/// @param totalFeesCollected The total fees collected for the epoc

 UnfinalizedState.totalWeightedStake is COpy-pasted from totalFeesCollected :

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/interfaces/IStructs.sol:L42

/// @param totalWeightedStake The total fees collected for the epoc

 Pool.initialized seems to be copy-pasted from an older version of the struct StoredBalance Or StakeBalance :

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/interfaces/IStructs.sol:L108

/// @param initialized True iff the balance struct is initialized.

- 4. The final contracts produce several compiler warnings:
  - Several functions are intentionally marked view to allow overriding implementations to read from state. These can be silenced by adding block.timestamp; or similar statements to the functions.
  - One function is erroneously marked view, and should be changed to pure:

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStaking PoolRewards.sol:L315-L330

```
/// @dev Computes the unfinalized rewards earned by a delegator in th
/// @param unsyncedStake Unsynced delegated stake to pool by staker
/// @param currentEpoch The epoch in which this call is executing
/// @param unfinalizedMembersReward Unfinalized total members reward
/// @param unfinalizedMembersStake Unfinalized total members stake (i
/// @return reward Balance in WETH.
function _computeUnfinalizedDelegatorReward(
    IStructs.StoredBalance memory unsyncedStake,
    uint256 currentEpoch,
    uint256 unfinalizedMembersReward,
    uint256 unfinalizedMembersStake
)
    private
    view
    returns (uint256)
{
```

### 5.15 Remove unneeded fields from StoredBalance and

Pool structs Minor V Fixed

#### **Resolution**

This is fixed in OxProject/Ox-monorepo#2248. As part of a larger refactor, these fields were removed.

#### Description

Both structs have fields that are only written to, and never read:

1. StoredBalance.isInitialized :

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/interfaces/IStructs.sol:L61

bool isInitialized;

2. Pool.initialized:

code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/interfaces/IStructs.sol:L113

bool initialized;

#### Recommendation

The unused fields should be removed.

### 5.16 Remove unnecessary fallback function in Staking

CONTRACT Minor V Fixed

### Resolution

This is fixed in OxProject/Ox-monorepo#2277.

### Description

The staking contract has a payable fallback function that is never used. Because it is used with a proxy contract, this pattern introduces silent failures when calls are made to the contract with no matching function selector.

#### Recommendation

Remove the fallback function from Staking.

### 5.17 Pool IDs can just be incrementing integers Minor V Fixed

#### Resolution

This is fixed in OxProject/Ox-monorepo#2250. Pool IDs now start at 1 and increment by 1 each time.

#### Description

Pool IDs are currently bytes32 values that increment by 2\*\*128. After discussion with the development team, it seems that this was in preparation for a feature that was ultimately not used. Pool IDs should instead just be incrementing integers.

#### Examples

## code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/immutable/MixinConstants.sol:L30-L34

## code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/staking\_pools/MixinStakingPool.sol: L271-L280

```
/// @dev Computes the unique id that comes after the input pool id.
/// @param poolId Unique id of pool.
/// @return Next pool id after input pool.
function _computeNextStakingPoolId(bytes32 poolId)
    internal
    pure
    returns (bytes32)
{
    return bytes32(uint256(poolId).safeAdd(POOL_ID_INCREMENT_AMOUNT));
}
```

#### Recommendation

Make pool IDs uint256 values and simply add 1 to generate the next ID.

## 5.18 LibProxy.proxyCall() may overwrite important

memory Minor V Fixed

#### Resolution

This is fixed in OxProject/Ox-monorepo#2301. This function has been rewritten in Solidity and now avoids manual memory management.

#### Description

LibProxy.proxyCall() copies from call data to memory, starting at address O:

#### code/contracts/staking/contracts/src/libs/LibProxy.sol:L52-L71

```
assembly {
   // store selector of destination function
    let freeMemPtr := 0
    if gt(customEgressSelector, 0) {
        mstore(0x0, customEgressSelector)
        freeMemPtr := add(freeMemPtr, 4)
    }
   // adjust the calldata offset, if we should ignore the selector
    let calldataOffset := 0
    if gt(ignoreIngressSelector, 0) {
        calldataOffset := 4
    }
   // copy calldata to memory
    calldatacopy(
        freeMemPtr,
        calldataOffset,
        calldatasize()
    )
```

The first 64 bytes of memory are treated as "scratch space" by the Solidity compiler. Writing beyond that point is dangerous, as it will overwrite the free memory pointer and the "zero slot" which is where length-0 arrays point.

Although the current callers of proxyCall() don't appear to use any memory after calling proxyCall(), future changes to the code may introduce very serious and subtle bugs due to this unsafe handling of memory.

#### Recommendation

Use the actual free memory pointer to determine where it's safe to write to memory.

## **6 Tool-Based Analysis**

Several tools were used to perform automated analysis of the reviewed contracts. These issues were reviewed by the audit team, and relevant issues are listed in the Issue Details section.

### 6.1 MythX

MythX is a security analysis API for Ethereum smart contracts. It performs multiple types of analysis, including fuzzing and symbolic execution, to

detect many common vulnerability types. The tool was used for automated vulnerability discovery for all audited contracts and libraries. More details on MythX can be found at mythx.io.



The full set of MythX results for both the exchange and staking contracts are available in a separate report.

## 6.2 Surya

Surya is an utility tool for smart contract systems. It provides a number of visual outputs and information about structure of smart contracts. It also supports querying the function call graph in multiple ways to aid in the manual inspection and control flow analysis of contracts.

Below is a complete list of functions with their visibility and modifiers:

### Sūrya's Description Report

Files Description Ta	able
----------------------	------

File Name	SHA-1 Hash
ReadOnlyProxy.sol	6ec64526446ebff87ec5528ee3b2786 338cc4fa0
Staking.sol	67ddcb9ab75e433882e28d91868159 90b7084c61
StakingProxy.sol	248f562d014d0b1ca6de3212966af3e 52a7deef1
ZrxVault.sol	6c3249314868a2f5d0984122e8ab141 3a5b521c9
fees/MixinExchangeFees.sol	9ac3b696baa8ba09305cfc83d3c08f 17d9d528e1
fees/MixinExchangeManager.sol	46f48136a49919cdb5588dc1b3d64c9 77c3367f2
immutable/MixinConstants.sol	97c2ac83ef97a09cfd485cb0d4b119b a0902cc79

File Name	SHA-1 Hash
immutable/MixinDeploymentCo nstants.sol	424f22c45df8e494c4a78f239ea07ff0 400d694b
immutable/MixinStorage.sol	8ad475b0e424e7a3ff65eedf2e999cb a98f414c8
interfaces/IStaking.sol	ec1d7f214e3fd40e14716de412deee976 9359bc0
interfaces/IStakingEvents.sol	25f16b814c4df9d2002316831c3f727d 858456c4
interfaces/IStakingProxy.sol	02e35c6b51e08235b2a01d30a8082d 60d9d61bee
interfaces/IStorage.sol	eeaa798c262b46d1874e904cf7de042 3d4132cee
interfaces/IStorageInit.sol	b9899b03e474ea5adc3b4818a4357f7 1b8d288d4
interfaces/IStructs.sol	fee17d036883d641afb1222b75eec842 7f3cdb96
interfaces/IZrxVault.sol	9067154651675317e000cfa92de9741 e50c1c809
libs/LibCobbDouglas.sol	242d62d71cf8bc09177d240c0db59b8 3f9bb4e96
libs/LibFixedMath.sol	36311e7be09a947fa4e6cd8c544cacd 13d65833c
libs/LibFixedMathRichErrors.sol	39cb3e07bbce3272bbf090e87002d5 834d288ec2
libs/LibProxy.sol	29abe52857a782c8da39b053cc54e0 2e295c1ae2
libs/LibSafeDowncast.sol	ae16ed2573d64802793320253b060b 9507729c3d
libs/LibStakingRichErrors.sol	f5868ef6066a18277c932e59c0a516e c58920b00

File Name	SHA-1 Hash
stake/MixinStake.sol	ade59ed356fe72521ffd2ef12ff8896c8 52f11f8
stake/MixinStakeBalances.sol	cde6ca1a6200570ba18dd6d392ffabf 68c2bb464
stake/MixinStakeStorage.sol	cadf34d9d341efd2a85dd13ec3cd4ce 8383e0f73
staking_pools/MixinCumulativeR ewards.sol	664ea3e35376c81492457dc17832a4d 0d602c8ae
staking_pools/MixinStakingPool. sol	74ba9cb2db29b8dd6376d112e9452d1 17a391b18
staking_pools/MixinStakingPool Rewards.sol	a3b4e5c9b1c3568c94923e2dd9a930 90ebdf8536
sys/MixinAbstract.sol	99fd4870c20d8fa03cfa30e8055d3df b348ed5cd
sys/MixinFinalizer.sol	cc658ed07241c1804cec75b12203be3 cd8657b9b
sys/MixinParams.sol	7b395f4da7ed787d7aa4eb915f153777 25ff8168
sys/MixinScheduler.sol	2fab6b83a6f9e1d0dd1b1bdcea4b129 d166aef1d

### **Contracts Description Table**

Contract	Туре	Bases		
L	Function Name	Visibility	Mutability	Modifiers
ReadOnly Proxy	Implementa tion	MixinStorage		
L	<fallback></fallback>	External 🏾		NO

Contract	Туре	Bases		
L	revertDeleg ateCall	External 🏾	۲	NO
Staking	Implementa tion	IStaking, MixinParams, MixinStake, MixinExchange Fees		
L	<fallback></fallback>	External 🏾		NO
L	init	Public 🏾	۲	onlyAutho rized
StakingPr oxy	Implementa tion	IStakingProxy, MixinStorage		
L	<constructo r&gt;</constructo 	Public 🛛		MixinStora ge
L	<fallback></fallback>	External 🏾		NO
L	attachStakin gContract	External 🎗	۲	onlyAutho rized
L	detachStaki ngContract	External 🌡		onlyAutho rized
L	setReadOnl yMode	External 🌡		onlyAutho rized
L	batchExecut e	External 🌡		NO
L	_assertValid StoragePara ms	Internal 🖱		
L	_attachStaki ngContract	Internal 🗎		

Contract	Туре	Bases		
ZrxVault	Implementa tion	Authorizable, IZrxVault		
L	<constructo r&gt;</constructo 	Public 🛛	۲	Authorizab le
L	setStakingPr oxy	External 🎗	۲	onlyAutho rized
L	enterCatastr ophicFailure	External 🎗	۲	onlyAutho rized
L	setZrxProxy	External 🏾	۲	onlyAutho rized onlyNotIn Catastrop hicFailure
L	depositFrom	External 🏾	۲	onlyStakin gProxy onlyNotIn Catastrop hicFailure
L	withdrawFro m	External 🏾	۲	onlyStakin gProxy onlyNotIn Catastrop hicFailure
L	withdrawAll From	External 🏾	۲	onlyInCata strophicFa ilure
L	balanceOf	External 🏾		NO
L	_withdrawFr om	Internal 🗎	۲	

Contract	Туре	Bases		
L	_assertSend erIsStakingP roxy	Private		
L	_assertInCat astrophicFai lure	Private 🖺		
L	_assertNotIn Catastrophi cFailure	Private 🖺		
MixinExch angeFees	Implementa tion	MixinExchange Manager, MixinStakingPo ol, MixinFinalizer		
L	payProtocol Fee	External 🏾	<u>e</u> b	onlyExcha nge
L	getActiveSt akingPoolTh isEpoch	External 🏾		NO
L	_computeM embersAnd WeightedSt ake	Private 🖺		
L	_assertValid ProtocolFee	Private		
MixinExch angeMana ger	Implementa tion	IStakingEvents, MixinStorage		
L	addExchang eAddress	External 🏾	۲	onlyAutho rized

Contract	Туре	Bases		
L	removeExch angeAddres s	External 🎗	۲	onlyAutho rized
MixinCons tants	Implementa tion	MixinDeployme ntConstants		
MixinDepl oymentCo nstants	Implementa tion			
L	getWethCon tract	Public 🛛		NO
L	getZrxVault	Public 🛛		NO
MixinStor age	Implementa tion	MixinConstants , Authorizable		
IStaking	Interface			
L	moveStake	External 🏾	۲	NO
L	payProtocol Fee	External 🏾	<u>ৰ্চ</u>	NO
L	stake	External 🎗		NO
IStakingEv ents	Interface			
IStakingPr oxy	Interface			
L	<fallback></fallback>	External 🏾		NO
L	attachStakin gContract	External 🏾	۲	NO
L	detachStaki ngContract	External 🏾	۲	NO

Contract	Туре	Bases	
IStorage	Interface		
L	stakingCont ract	External 🏾	NO
L	readOnlyPro xy	External 🎗	NO
L	readOnlyPro xyCallee	External 🎚	NO
L	nextPoolId	External 🏾	NO
L	numMakers ByPoolId	External 🎗	NO
L	currentEpoc h	External 🎗	NO
L	currentEpoc hStartTimel nSeconds	External 🏾	NO
L	protocolFee sThisEpoch ByPool	External 🎚	NO
L	activePoolsT hisEpoch	External 🏾	NO
L	validExchan ges	External 🏾	NO
L	epochDurati onInSecond s	External 🎗	NO
L	rewardDele gatedStake Weight	External 🎗	NO
L	minimumPo olStake	External 🏾	NO

			Diligence	
Contract	Туре	Bases		
L	maximumM akersInPool	External 🌡		NO
L	cobbDougla sAlphaNum erator	External 🏾		NO
L	cobbDougla sAlphaDeno minator	External 🏾		NO
lStorageln it	Interface			
L	init	External 🎗	۲	NO
IStructs	Interface			
IZrxVault	Interface			
L	setStakingPr oxy	External 🎗		NO
L	enterCatastr ophicFailure	External 🌡	۲	NO
L	setZrxProxy	External [		NO
L	depositFrom	External 🏾		NO
L	withdrawFro m	External 🌡	۲	NO
L	withdrawAll From	External 🏾	۲	NO
L	balanceOf	External 🏾		NO
LibCobbD ouglas	Library			

Contract	Туре	Bases	
L	cobbDougla s	Internal 🗎	
LibFixedM ath	Library		
L	one	Internal 🖱	
L	add	Internal 🖱	
L	sub	Internal 🖱	
L	mul	Internal 🗎	
L	div	Internal 🗎	
L	mulDiv	Internal 🗎	
L	uintMul	Internal 🗎	
L	abs	Internal 🗎	
L	invert	Internal 🗎	
L	toFixed	Internal 🗎	
L	toFixed	Internal 🗎	
L	toFixed	Internal 🗎	
L	toFixed	Internal 🗎	
L	toInteger	Internal 🗎	
L	In	Internal 🗎	
L	exp	Internal 🗎	
L	_mul	Private 🖺	
L	_div	Private 🖺	
L	_add	Private 🖺	

Contract	Туре	Bases		
LibFixedM athRichErr ors	Library			
L	SignedValue Error	Internal 🖱		
L	UnsignedVal ueError	Internal 🖱		
L	BinOpError	Internal 🗎		
LibProxy	Library			
L	proxyCall	Internal 🗎	۲	
LibSafeDo wncast	Library			
L	downcastTo Uint96	Internal 🖱		
L	downcastTo Uint64	Internal 🖱		
L	downcastTo Uint32	Internal 🗎		
LibStaking RichErrors	Library			
L	OnlyCallabl eByExchang eError	Internal 🖱		
L	ExchangeM anagerError	Internal 🖱		
L	InsufficientB alanceError	Internal 🗎		

Contract	Туре	Bases	
L	OnlyCallabl eByPoolOpe ratorOrMake rError	Internal 🖱	
L	MakerPoolA ssignmentEr ror	Internal 🖱	
L	BlockTimest ampTooLow Error	Internal 🖱	
L	OnlyCallabl eByStaking ContractErr or	Internal 🖱	
L	OnlyCallabl elfInCatastr ophicFailure Error	Internal 🖱	
L	OnlyCallabl elfNotInCata strophicFail ureError	Internal 🖱	
L	OperatorSh areError	Internal 🖱	
L	PoolExisten ceError	Internal 🖱	
L	InvalidProto colFeePaym entError	Internal 🖱	
L	InvalidStake StatusError	Internal 🖱	

Contract	Туре	Bases		
L	Initialization Error	Internal 🗎		
L	InvalidPara mValueError	Internal 🗎		
L	ProxyDestin ationCannot BeNilError	Internal 🗎		
L	PreviousEpo chNotFinaliz edError	Internal 🗎		
MixinStak e	Implementa tion	MixinStakingPo ol		
L	stake	External 🏾		NO
L	unstake	External 🏾	۲	NO
L	moveStake	External 🏾		NO
L	_delegateSt ake	Private 🖺	۲	
L	_undelegate Stake	Private 鹶		
L	_getBalance PtrFromStat us	Private		
MixinStak eBalances	Implementa tion	MixinStakeStor age		
L	getGlobalAc tiveStake	External 🏾		NO
L	getGlobalIn activeStake	External 🏾		NO

Contract	Туре	Bases	
L	getGlobalDe legatedStak e	External 🎗	NO
L	getTotalStak e	External 🎗	NO
L	getActiveSt ake	External 🎗	NO
L	getInactiveS take	External 🎗	NO
L	getStakeDel egatedByO wner	External 🎚	NO
L	getWithdra wableStake	Public 🏾	NO
L	getStakeDel egatedToPo olByOwner	Public 🏾	NO
L	getTotalStak eDelegatedT oPool	Public 🏾	NO
L	_computeWi thdrawableS take	Internal 🗎	
MixinStak eStorage	Implementa tion	MixinScheduler	
L	_moveStake	Internal 🖱	
L	_loadSynced Balance	Internal 🖱	
L	_loadUnsync edBalance	Internal 🖱	

			Diligence	
Contract	Туре	Bases		
L	_increaseCu rrentAndNe xtBalance	Internal 🗎	۲	
L	_decreaseC urrentAndN extBalance	Internal 🗎	۲	
L	_increaseNe xtBalance	Internal 🗎		
L	_decreaseN extBalance	Internal 🗎	۲	
L	_storeBalanc e	Private 鹶	۲	
L	_arePointers Equal	Private 🖺		
MixinCum ulativeRe wards	Implementa tion	MixinStakeBala nces		
L	_initializeCu mulativeRe wards	Internal 🖱	۲	
L	_isCumulativ eRewardSet	Internal 🗎		
L	_forceSetCu mulativeRe ward	Internal 🖱	۲	
L	_computeM emberRewar dOverInterv al	Internal 🖱		

Contract	Туре	Bases		
L	_getMostRe centCumula tiveReward	Internal 🗎		
L	_getCumulat iveRewardAt Epoch	Internal 🗎		
MixinStaki ngPool	Implementa tion	MixinAbstract, MixinStakingPo olRewards		
L	createStakin gPool	External 🏾		NO
L	decreaseSta kingPoolOp eratorShare	External 🌡	۲	onlyStakin gPoolOper atorOrMak er
L	joinStakingP oolAsMaker	External 🎚		NO
L	addMakerTo StakingPool	External 🌡	۲	onlyStakin gPoolOper atorOrMak er
L	removeMak erFromStaki ngPool	External 🎗	۲	onlyStakin gPoolOper atorOrMak er
L	getStakingP oolIdOfMak er	Public 🏾		NO
L	getStakingP ool	Public 🛛		NO

Contract	Туре	Bases		
L	_addMakerT oStakingPoo I	Internal 🖱	۲	
L	_computeNe xtStakingPo olld	Internal 🗎		
L	_assertStaki ngPoolExists	Internal 🗎		
L	_assertNew OperatorSh are	Private		
L	_assertSend erIsPoolOpe ratorOrMake r	Private		
MixinStaki ngPoolRe wards	Implementa tion	MixinAbstract, MixinCumulativ eRewards		
L	withdrawDel egatorRewar ds	External 🎗	۲	NO
L	computeRe wardBalanc eOfOperato r	External 🎗		NO
L	computeRe wardBalanc eOfDelegato r	External 🌡		NO

		çı ,	-	
Contract	Туре	Bases		
L	_withdrawA ndSyncDele gatorRewar ds	Internal 🖱	۲	
L	_syncPoolRe wards	Internal 🗎		
L	_computePo olRewardsS plit	Internal 🖱		
L	_computeDe legatorRewa rd	Private		
L	_computeUn finalizedDel egatorRewar d	Private 🖺		
L	_increasePo olRewards	Private		
L	_decreasePo olRewards	Private 鹶		
MixinAbst ract	Implementa tion			
L	finalizePool	Public 🛛		NO
L	_getUnfinali zedPoolRew ards	Internal 🗎		
MixinFinal izer	Implementa tion	MixinStakingPo olRewards		
L	endEpoch	External 🏾		NO
L	finalizePool	Public 🛛		NO

https://consensys.net/diligence/audits/2019/10/0x-v3-staking/

Contract	Туре	Bases		
L	_getUnfinali zedPoolRew ards	Internal 🖱		
L	_getActiveP oolFromEpo ch	Internal 🖱		
L	_getActiveP oolsFromEp och	Internal 🗎		
L	_wrapEth	Internal 🗎		
L	_getAvailabl eWethBalan ce	Internal 🗎		
L	_getUnfinali zedPoolRew ardsFromSta te	Private		
L	_creditRewa rdsToPool	Private 🖺	۲	
MixinPara ms	Implementa tion	IStakingEvents, MixinStorage		
L	setParams	External 🎗	۲	onlyAutho rized
L	getParams	External 🏾		NO
L	_initMixinPar ams	Internal 🗎		
L	_assertPara msNotInitiali zed	Internal 🗎		
L	_setParams	Private 鹶		

Contract	Туре	Bases		
MixinSche duler	Implementa tion	IStakingEvents, MixinStorage		
L	getCurrentE pochEarliest EndTimeInS econds	Public 🏾		NO
L	_initMixinSc heduler	Internal 🖱	۲	
L	_goToNextE poch	Internal 🖱		
L	_assertSche dulerNotIniti alized	Internal 🗎		

#### Legend

Symbol	Meaning	
	Function can modify state	
	Function is payable	

## **Appendix 1 - Disclosure**

ConsenSys Diligence ("CD") typically receives compensation from one or more clients (the "Clients") for performing the analysis contained in these reports (the "Reports"). The Reports may be distributed through other means, including via ConsenSys publications and other distributions.

The Reports are not an endorsement or indictment of any particular project or team, and the Reports do not guarantee the security of any particular project. This Report does not consider, and should not be interpreted as considering or having any bearing on, the potential economics of a token, token sale or any other product, service or other asset. Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies and carry with them high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. No Report provides any warranty or representation to any

#### 0x v3 Staking | ConsenSys Diligence

Third-Party in any respect, including regarding the bugfree nature of code, the business model or proprietors of any such business model, and the legal compliance of any such business. No third party should rely on the Reports in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell any token, product, service or other asset. Specifically, for the avoidance of doubt, this Report does not constitute investment advice, is not intended to be relied upon as investment advice, is not an endorsement of this project or team, and it is not a guarantee as to the absolute security of the project. CD owes no duty to any Third-Party by virtue of publishing these Reports.

PURPOSE OF REPORTS The Reports and the analysis described therein are created solely for Clients and published with their consent. The scope of our review is limited to a review of Solidity code and only the Solidity code we note as being within the scope of our review within this report. The Solidity language itself remains under development and is subject to unknown risks and flaws. The review does not extend to the compiler layer, or any other areas beyond Solidity that could present security risks. Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies and carry with them high levels of technical risk and uncertainty.

CD makes the Reports available to parties other than the Clients (i.e., "third parties") – on its website. CD hopes that by making these analyses publicly available, it can help the blockchain ecosystem develop technical best practices in this rapidly evolving area of innovation.

LINKS TO OTHER WEB SITES FROM THIS WEB SITE You may, through hypertext or other computer links, gain access to web sites operated by persons other than ConsenSys and CD. Such hyperlinks are provided for your reference and convenience only, and are the exclusive responsibility of such web sites' owners. You agree that ConsenSys and CD are not responsible for the content or operation of such Web sites, and that ConsenSys and CD shall have no liability to you or any other person or entity for the use of third party Web sites. Except as described below, a hyperlink from this web Site to another web site does not imply or mean that ConsenSys and CD endorses the content on that Web site or the operator or operations of that site. You are solely responsible for determining the extent to which you may use any content at any other web sites to which you link from the Reports. ConsenSys and CD assumes no responsibility for the use of third party software on the Web Site and shall have no liability whatsoever to any person or entity for the accuracy or completeness of any outcome generated by such software.

TIMELINESS OF CONTENT The content contained in the Reports is current as of the date appearing on the Report and is subject to change without notice. Unless indicated otherwise, by ConsenSys and CD.