

# CERTIK VERIFICATION REPORT FOR CONTENTOS



Request Date: 2019-04-15  
Revision Date: 2019-04-28

## Disclaimer

This Report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Verification Services Agreement between CertiK and ContentOS(the “Company”), or the scope of services/verification, and terms and conditions provided to the Company in connection with the verification (collectively, the “Agreement”). This Report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This Report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes without CertiK’s prior written consent.

# PASS

*CERTIK believes this smart contract passes security qualifications to be listed on digital asset exchanges.*

Apr 28, 2019



## Summary

This audit report summarises the smart contract verification service requested by ContentOS. The goal of this security audit is to guarantee that the audited smart contracts are robust enough to avoid any potential security loopholes.

The result of this report is only a reflection of the source code that was determined in this scope, and of the source code at the time of the audit.

## Type of Issues

CertiK smart label engine applied 100% coverage formal verification labels on the source code, and scanned the code using our proprietary static analysis and formal verification engine to detect the follow type of issues.

Title	Description	Issues	SWC ID
Integer Overflow and Underflow	An overflow/underflow happens when an arithmetic operation reaches the maximum or minimum size of a type.	0	SWC-101
Function incorrectness	Function implementation does not meet the specification, leading to intentional or unintentional vulnerabilities.	0	
Buffer Overflow	An attacker is able to write to arbitrary storage locations of a contract if array of out bound happens	0	SWC-124
Reentrancy	A malicious contract can call back into the calling contract before the first invocation of the function is finished.	0	SWC-107
Transaction Order Dependence	A race condition vulnerability occurs when code depends on the order of the transactions submitted to it.	0	SWC-114
Timestamp Dependence	Timestamp can be influenced by minors to some degree.	4	SWC-116

Insecure Compiler Version	Using an fixed outdated compiler version or floating pragma can be problematic, if there are publicly disclosed bugs and issues that affect the current compiler version used.	<b>2</b>	SWC-102 SWC-103
Insecure Randomness	Block attributes are insecure to generate random numbers, as they can be influenced by minors to some degree.	<b>0</b>	SWC-120
“tx.origin” for authorization	tx.origin should not be used for authorization. Use msg.sender instead.	<b>0</b>	SWC-115
Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	Calling into untrusted contracts is very dangerous, the target and arguments provided must be sanitized.	<b>0</b>	SWC-112
State Variable Default Visibility	Labeling the visibility explicitly makes it easier to catch incorrect assumptions about who can access the variable.	<b>0</b>	SWC-108
Function Default Visibility	Functions are public by default. A malicious user is able to make unauthorized or unintended state changes if a developer forgot to set the visibility.	<b>0</b>	SWC-100
Uninitialized variables	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to other unexpected storage variables in the contract.	<b>0</b>	SWC-109
Assertion Failure	The assert() function is meant to assert invariants. Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.	<b>0</b>	SWC-110
Deprecated Solidity Features	Several functions and operators in Solidity are deprecated and should not be used as best practice.	<b>0</b>	SWC-111
Unused variables	Unused variables reduce code quality	<b>0</b>	

## Review Details

### Source Code SHA-256 Checksum

- **lock\_linear.sol** 4225963563907cc509e5827fcc329073cdc6b9cefba3da713bc3e7d1540e59a6
- **lock\_nonlinear.sol** f09b94f509d104f171fd74761db812da1ffb58e759692259861c9da0401888f3
- **lock\_reward.sol** \*\* 1a5d7eeac6454710623a0929ae6b0867672f11ca84e4f698f6d479d546049132

### Summary

CertiK team is invited by The ContentOS team to audit the design and implementations of its TimeLock and Vesting Contracts, and the source code has been analyzed under different perspectives and with different tools such as CertiK formal verification checkings as well as manual reviews by smart contract experts. We have been actively interacting with client-side engineers when there was any potential loopholes or recommended design changes during the audit process, and ContentOS team has been actively giving us updates for the source code and feedback about the business logics.

We suggest more unit test cases to be added into the repository to simulate different `release` token scenarios to those `benefinaries`. i.e: release in the linear fashion- constant amount && release in non-linear fashion - cliff vesting.

Meanwhile, it is recommended to have a more well-detailed document for the public to describe the source code specifications and implementations.

Overall we found the ContentOS team is very professional, provide with well-detailed document for the public to describe the source code specifications and implementations. The contracts follow good practices, with reasonable amount of features on top of the ERC20 related to administrative controls by the token issuer. With the final update of source code and delivery of the audit report, we conclude that the contract is not vulnerable to any classically known antipatterns or security issues. The audit report itself is not necessarily a guarantee of correctness or trustworthiness, and we always recommend seeking multiple opinions, more test coverage and sandbox deployments before the mainnet release.

## Recommendation

Items in this section are low impact to the overall aspects of the smart contracts, thus will let client to decide whether to have those reflected in the final deployed version of source codes.

### `lock_linear.sol`

- `isAuthorized()` – can be simplified to `return owner == src;`
- `set_lock_info()`
  - `set_lock_info()` can be modified many time before the `lock()` is called, `dataArray.push(tmp * days_of_month)` keep `dataArray` increasing, instead start from index 0.
- `set_benificiary()` – Ensure the address `b` is not zero contract
- `require()` – after version 0.4.22, `require()` allow to optional message for giving more context to the user about what type of condition is not match i.e: `require(_to != address(0), "invalid, _to is a zero address")`

### `lock_nonlinear.sol`

- `isAuthorized()` – can be simplified to

```
return src == owner  
;
```
- `release_specific()`
  - **line 111:** `require(false);` is not given enough information about the condition is not meet to the user. Adding messages definitely could help for further investigation purpose. i.e. `require(false, "index must be between 1 - 4");`

For every issues found, CertiK categorizes them into 3 buckets based on its risk level:

- Critical: The code implementation does not match the specification, or it could result in loss of funds for contract owner or users.
- Medium: The code implementation does not match the specification at certain condition, or it could affect the security standard by lost of access control.
- Low: The code implementation is not a best practice, or use a suboptimal design pattern, which may lead to security vulnerability, but no concern found yet.

## Source Code with CertiK Labels

File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```
1 pragma solidity ^0.4.24;
2
3     contract DAO {
4         function balanceOf(address addr) public returns (uint);
5     }
6
7     interface RegisterInterface {
8         function register(string);
9     }
10
11 // auth
12 contract Auth {
13     address    public owner;
14     /*@CTK Auth
15      @post __post.owner == msg.sender
16      */
17     constructor () public {
18         owner = msg.sender;
19     }
20
21     modifier auth {
22         require(isAuthorized(msg.sender) == true);
23         _;
24     }
25
26     /*@CTK isAuthorized
27      @post __return == (src == owner)
28      */
29     function isAuthorized(address src) internal view returns (bool) {
30         if(src == owner){
31             return true;
32         } else {
33             return false;
34         }
35     }
36 }
37
38 contract TokenTimelock is Auth{
39
40     constructor() public {
41         benificiary = msg.sender;
42     }
43
44     uint constant public days_of_month = 30;
45
46     uint public firstTime = 0;
47     uint public secondTIme = 0;
48     uint public thirdTime = 0;
49     uint public fourthTime = 0;
50     mapping (uint => bool) public release_map;
51
52     uint256 public totalFutureRelease = 0;
53
54 }
```

```

55     // cosToken address,
56     address constant public contract_addr = 0x589891a198195061cb8ad1a75357a3b7dbadd7bc
57     ;
58
59     address public benificialy;
60
61     uint   public startTime;
62     bool public lockStart = false;
63
64     // set total cos to lock
65     /*@CTK set_total
66         @tag assume_completion
67         @post !lockStart
68         @post msg.sender == owner
69         @post __post.totalFutureRelease == total
70     */
71     function set_total(uint256 total) auth public {
72         require(lockStart == false);
73         totalFutureRelease = total;
74     }
75
76     // set month to release
77     /*CTK set_release_month
78         @tag no_overflow
79         @post __post.firstTime == months1 * days_of_month
80         @post __post.secondTIme == months2 * days_of_month
81         @post __post.thirdTime == months3 * days_of_month
82         @post __post.fourthTime == months4 * days_of_month
83     */
84     function set_release_month(int months1,int months2,int months3,int months4) auth
85         public {
86         require(lockStart == false);
87         require(months1 > 0);
88         require(months2 > 0);
89         require(months3 > 0);
90         require(months4 > 0);
91         firstTime = uint(months1) * days_of_month;
92         secondTIme = uint(months2) * days_of_month;
93         thirdTime = uint(months3) * days_of_month;
94         fourthTime = uint(months4) * days_of_month;
95
96         require(firstTime < secondTIme );
97         require(secondTIme < thirdTime);
98         require(thirdTime < fourthTime);
99     }
100
101    // when transfer certain balance to this contract address, we can call lock
102    /*@CTK lock
103        @tag assume_completion
104        @post !lockStart
105        @post firstTime != 0
106        @post secondTIme != 0
107        @post thirdTime != 0
108        @post fourthTime != 0
109        @post __post.startTime == block.timestamp + offsetMinutes * 60
110        @post __post.lockStart
111    */
112    // function lock(int offsetMinutes) auth public returns(bool) {

```

```

111     function lock(uint offsetMinutes) auth public returns(bool) {
112         require(lockStart == false);
113         require(firstTime != 0);
114         require(secondTIme != 0);
115         require(thirdTime != 0);
116         require(fourthTime != 0);
117         require(offsetMinutes >= 0);
118
119         DAO cosTokenApi = DAO(contract_addr);
120         uint256 balance = cosTokenApi.balanceOf(address(this));
121         require(balance == totalFutureRelease);
122
123         startTime = block.timestamp + uint(offsetMinutes) * 1 minutes;
124         lockStart = true;
125     }
126
127     /*@CTK set_beniciary
128      @tag assume_completion
129      @post msg.sender == owner
130      @post __post.beniciary == b
131      */
132     function set_beniciary(address b) auth public {
133         beneficiary = b;
134     }
135
136     function release_specific(uint index,uint i) private {
137         if (release_map[i] == true) {
138             emit mapCheck(true,i);
139             return;
140         }
141         emit mapCheck(false,i);
142
143         DAO cosTokenApi = DAO(contract_addr);
144         uint256 balance = cosTokenApi.balanceOf(address(this));
145         uint256 eachRelease = 0;
146         if (index == 1) {
147             eachRelease = totalFutureRelease / 10;
148         } else if (index >= 2 && index <= 4) {
149             eachRelease = (totalFutureRelease / 10) * 3;
150         } else {
151             require(false);
152         }
153
154         bool ok = balance >= eachRelease;
155         emit balanceCheck(ok,balance);
156         require(balance >= eachRelease);
157
158         bool success = contract_addr.call(bytes4(keccak256("transfer(address,uint256)"))
159             ,beneficiary,eachRelease);
160         emit tokenTransfer(success);
161         require(success);
162         release_map[i] = true;
163
164         event mapCheck(bool ok,uint window);
165         event balanceCheck(bool ok,uint256 balance);
166         event tokenTransfer(bool success);
167

```

```

168   function release() auth public {
169     require(lockStart == true);
170     require(release_map[fourthTime] == false);
171     uint theDay = dayFor();
172     // release day must be after lock day
173     require(theDay > firstTime);
174
175     if ( theDay > firstTime && theDay <= secondTIme) {
176       release_specific(1,firstTime);
177     } else if (theDay > secondTIme && theDay <= thirdTime) {
178       release_specific(1,firstTime);
179       release_specific(2,secondTIme);
180     } else if (theDay > thirdTime && theDay <= fourthTime) {
181       release_specific(1,firstTime);
182       release_specific(2,secondTIme);
183       release_specific(3,thirdTime);
184     } else if (theDay > fourthTime) {
185       release_specific(1,firstTime);
186       release_specific(2,secondTIme);
187       release_specific(3,thirdTime);
188       release_specific(4,fourthTime);
189     }
190   }
191
192   // days after lock
193 /*@CTK dayFor
194   @post block.timestamp < startTime -> __return == 0
195   @post block.timestamp >= startTime -> __return == (block.timestamp - startTime)
196   / 86400 + 1
197 */
198   function dayFor() view public returns (uint) {
199     uint timestamp = block.timestamp;
200     return timestamp < startTime ? 0 : (timestamp - startTime) / 1 days + 1;
201   }
202
203   function regist(string key) auth public {
204     RegisterInterface(contract_addr).register(key);
205   }

```

File lock\_linear.sol

```

1 pragma solidity ^0.4.24;
2
3 contract DAO {
4   /*@CTK balanceOf
5     @tag spec
6     @post __return == 5
7   */
8   function balanceOf(address addr) public returns (uint);
9 }
10
11 interface RegisterInterface {
12   function register(string);
13 }
14
15 // auth
16 contract Auth {
17   address public owner;

```

```
18     /*@CTK Auth
19      @post __post.owner == msg.sender
20      */
21     constructor () public {
22         owner = msg.sender;
23     }
24
25     modifier auth {
26         require(isAuthorized(msg.sender) == true);
27         _;
28     }
29
30     /*@CTK isAuthorized
31      @post __return == (src == owner)
32      */
33     function isAuthorized(address src) internal view returns (bool) {
34         if(src == owner){
35             return true;
36         } else {
37             return false;
38         }
39     }
40 }
41
42 contract TokenTimelock is Auth{
43     /*@CTK TokenTimeLock
44      @post __post.beniciary == msg.sender
45      */
46     constructor() public {
47         beneficiary = msg.sender;
48     }
49
50     uint constant public days_of_month = 30;
51
52     uint[] public dateArray;
53     uint public release_percent = 0;
54
55     mapping (uint => bool) public release_map;
56     uint256 public totalFutureRelease = 0;
57
58     // cosToken address,
59     address constant public contract_addr = 0x589891a198195061cb8ad1a75357a3b7dbadd7bc
60     ;
61     address public beniciary;
62     uint public startTime;
63     bool public lockStart = false;
64
65     // set total cos to lock
66     /*@CTK set_total
67      @tag assume_completion
68      @post !lockStart
69      @post msg.sender == owner
70      @post __post.totalFutureRelease == total
71      */
72     function set_total(uint256 total) auth public {
73         require(lockStart == false);
74         totalFutureRelease = total;
```

```

75
76 // set month to release
77 function set_lock_info(int startMonth,int periods,int percent,int gap) auth public
78 {
79   require(lockStart == false);
80   require(startMonth > 0);
81   require(periods > 0);
82   require(percent > 0);
83   require(gap > 0);
84   require(periods * percent == 100);
85   release_percent = uint(percent);
86   uint tmp = uint(startMonth);
87   delete dateArray;
88   /*CTK ForLoop_set_lock_info
89     @inv dateArray[dateArray.length - 1] == tmp__pre * days_of_month
90     @inv tmp == tmp__pre + gap
91     @inv i < periods
92     @inv gap == gap__pre
93     @inv periods == periods__pre
94     @post i == periods
95     @post !_should_return
96   */
97   for (int i = 0; i < periods; i++) {
98     dateArray.push(tmp * days_of_month);
99     tmp += uint(gap);
100   }
101
102 // when transfer certain balance to this contract address, we can call lock
103 function lock(int offsetMinutes) auth public returns(bool) {
104   require(lockStart == false);
105   require(offsetMinutes >= 0);
106   for(uint i = 0; i < dateArray.length; i++) {
107     require(dateArray[i] != 0);
108   }
109   require(release_percent != 0);
110   require(totalFutureRelease != 0);
111
112   DAO cosTokenApi = DAO(contract_addr);
113   uint256 balance = cosTokenApi.balanceOf(address(this));
114   require(balance == totalFutureRelease);
115
116   startTime = block.timestamp + uint(offsetMinutes) * 1 minutes;
117   lockStart = true;
118 }
119
120 /*@CTK set_benificiary
121   @tag assume_completion
122   @post msg.sender == owner
123   @post __post.benificiary == b
124 */
125 function set_benificiary(address b) auth public {
126   beneficiary = b;
127 }
128
129 function release_specific(uint i) private {
130   if (release_map[i] == true) {
131     emit mapCheck(true,i);

```

```
132         return;
133     }
134     emit mapCheck(false,i);
135
136     DAO cosTokenApi = DAO(contract_addr);
137     uint256 balance = cosTokenApi.balanceOf(address(this));
138     uint256 eachRelease = 0;
139     eachRelease = (totalFutureRelease / 100) * release_percent;
140
141     bool ok = balance >= eachRelease;
142     emit balanceCheck(ok,balance);
143     require(balance >= eachRelease);
144
145     bool success = contract_addr.call(bytes4(keccak256("transfer(address,uint256")
146             ),beneficiary,eachRelease));
147     emit tokenTransfer(success);
148     require(success);
149     release_map[i] = true;
150 }
151
152 event mapCheck(bool ok,uint window);
153 event balanceCheck(bool ok,uint256 balance);
154 event tokenTransfer(bool success);
155
156 function release() auth public {
157     require(lockStart == true);
158     require(release_map[dateArray[dateArray.length-1]] == false);
159     uint theDay = dayFor();
160
161     for (uint i=0; i<dateArray.length;i++) {
162         if(theDay > dateArray[i]) {
163             release_specific(dateArray[i]);
164         }
165     }
166
167     // days after lock
168 /*@CTK dayFor
169     @post block.timestamp < startTime -> __return == 0
170     @post block.timestamp >= startTime -> __return == (block.timestamp - startTime)
171         / 86400 + 1
172 */
173     function dayFor() view public returns (uint) {
174         uint timestamp = block.timestamp;
175         return timestamp < startTime ? 0 : (timestamp - startTime) / 1 days + 1;
176     }
177
178     function regist(string key) auth public {
179         RegisterInterface(contract_addr).register(key);
180     }
```

## How to read

### Detail for Request 1

transferFrom to same address

<i>Verification date</i>	 20, Oct 2018
<i>Verification timespan</i>	 395.38 ms

<i>CERTIK label location</i>	Line 30-34 in File howtoread.sol
<i>CERTIK label</i>	<pre> 30   /*@CTK FAIL "transferFrom to same address" 31     @tag assume_completion 32     @pre from == to 33     @post __post.allowed[from][msg.sender] == 34   */ </pre>
<i>Raw code location</i>	Line 35-41 in File howtoread.sol

<i>Raw code</i>	<pre> 35   function transferFrom(address from, address to 36     ) { 37       balances[from] = balances[from].sub(tokens 38       allowed[from][msg.sender] = allowed[from][ 39       balances[to] = balances[to].add(tokens); 40       emit Transfer(from, to, tokens); 41     } </pre>
<i>Counterexample</i>	<p> This code violates the specification</p>
<i>Initial environment</i>	<pre> 1 Counter Example: 2 Before Execution: 3   Input = { 4     from = 0x0 5     to = 0x0 6     tokens = 0x6c 7   } 8 This = 0 </pre>
<i>Post environment</i>	<pre> 52 53   balance: 0x0 54 } 55 } 56 57 After Execution: 58   Input = { 59     from = 0x0 60     to = 0x0 61     tokens = 0x6c </pre>

# Static Analysis Request

## INSECURE\_COMPILER\_VERSION

Line 1 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```
1 pragma solidity ^0.4.24;
```

 Only these compiler versions are safe to compile your code: 0.4.25

## TIMESTAMP\_DEPENDENCY

Line 124 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```
124     startTime = block.timestamp + uint(offsetMinutes) * 1 minutes;
```

 "block.timestamp" can be influenced by minors to some degree

## TIMESTAMP\_DEPENDENCY

Line 199 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```
199     uint timestamp = block.timestamp;
```

 "block.timestamp" can be influenced by minors to some degree

## INSECURE\_COMPILER\_VERSION

Line 1 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
1 pragma solidity ^0.4.24;
```

 Only these compiler versions are safe to compile your code: 0.4.25

## TIMESTAMP\_DEPENDENCY

Line 116 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
116     startTime = block.timestamp + uint(offsetMinutes) * 1 minutes;
```

 "block.timestamp" can be influenced by minors to some degree

## TIMESTAMP\_DEPENDENCY

Line 173 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
173     uint timestamp = block.timestamp;
```

 "block.timestamp" can be influenced by minors to some degree

## Formal Verification Request 1

### Auth

 28, Apr 2019

 6.6 ms

Line 14-16 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```
14     /*@CTK Auth
15      @post __post.owner == msg.sender
16      */
```

Line 17-19 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```
17     constructor () public {
18       owner = msg.sender;
19     }
```

 The code meets the specification

## Formal Verification Request 2

### isAuthorized

 28, Apr 2019

 13.13 ms

Line 26-28 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```
26     /*@CTK isAuthorized
27      @post __return == (src == owner)
28      */
```

Line 29-35 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```
29     function isAuthorized(address src) internal view returns (bool) {
30       if(src == owner){
31         return true;
32       } else {
33         return false;
34       }
35     }
```

 The code meets the specification

## Formal Verification Request 3

### set\_total

 28, Apr 2019

 62.3 ms

Line 64-69 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```

64  /*@CTK set_total
65  @tag assume_completion
66  @post !lockStart
67  @post msg.sender == owner
68  @post __post.totalFutureRelease == total
69 */

```

Line 70-73 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```

70   function set_total(uint256 total) auth public {
71     require(lockStart == false);
72     totalFutureRelease = total;
73   }

```

 The code meets the specification

## Formal Verification Request 4

lock

 28, Apr 2019

 114.69 ms

Line 100-109 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```

100  /*@CTK lock
101  @tag assume_completion
102  @post !lockStart
103  @post firstTime != 0
104  @post secondTIme != 0
105  @post thirdTime != 0
106  @post fourthTime != 0
107  @post __post.startTime == block.timestamp + offsetMinutes * 60
108  @post __post.lockStart
109 */

```

Line 111-126 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```

111  function lock(uint offsetMinutes) auth public returns(bool) {
112    require(lockStart == false);
113    require(firstTime != 0);
114    require(secondTIme != 0);
115    require(thirdTime != 0);
116    require(fourthTime != 0);
117    require(offsetMinutes >= 0);
118
119    DAO cosTokenApi = DAO(contract_addr);
120    uint256 balance = cosTokenApi.balanceOf(address(this));
121    require(balance == totalFutureRelease);
122
123    startTime = block.timestamp + uint(offsetMinutes) * 1 minutes;
124    lockStart = true;
125  }

```

 The code meets the specification

## Formal Verification Request 5

set\_benificiary

 28, Apr 2019

 37.26 ms

Line 128-132 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```
128  /*@CTK set_benificiary
129  @tag assume_completion
130  @post msg.sender == owner
131  @post __post.benificiary == b
132  */
```

Line 133-135 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```
133  function set_benificiary(address b) auth public {
134      beneficiary = b;
135  }
```

 The code meets the specification

## Formal Verification Request 6

dayFor

 28, Apr 2019

 12.6 ms

Line 194-197 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```
194  /*@CTK dayFor
195  @post block.timestamp < startTime -> __return == 0
196  @post block.timestamp >= startTime -> __return == (block.timestamp - startTime)
197  / 86400 + 1
198  */
```

Line 198-201 in File lock\_nonlinear.sol

```
198  function dayFor() view public returns (uint) {
199      uint timestamp = block.timestamp;
200      return timestamp < startTime ? 0 : (timestamp - startTime) / 1 days + 1;
201  }
```

 The code meets the specification

## Formal Verification Request 7

Auth

 28, Apr 2019

 6.6 ms

Line 18-20 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
18     /*@CTK Auth
19      @post __post.owner == msg.sender
20      */
```

Line 21-23 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
21     constructor () public {
22         owner = msg.sender;
23     }
```

 The code meets the specification

## Formal Verification Request 8

isAuthorized

 28, Apr 2019

 13.13 ms

Line 30-32 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
30     /*@CTK isAuthorized
31      @post __return == (src == owner)
32      */
```

Line 33-39 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
33     function isAuthorized(address src) internal view returns (bool) {
34         if(src == owner){
35             return true;
36         } else {
37             return false;
38         }
39     }
```

 The code meets the specification

## Formal Verification Request 9

TokenTimeLock

 28, Apr 2019

 8.79 ms

Line 43-45 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
43     /*@CTK TokenTimeLock
44      @post __post.benificiary == msg.sender
45      */
```

Line 46-48 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
46     constructor() public {
47         benificiary = msg.sender;
48     }
```

 The code meets the specification

## Formal Verification Request 10

set\_total

 28, Apr 2019

 65.4 ms

Line 65-70 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
65  /*@CTK set_total
66      @tag assume_completion
67      @post !lockStart
68      @post msg.sender == owner
69      @post __post.totalFutureRelease == total
70  */
```

Line 71-74 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
71  function set_total(uint256 total) auth public {
72      require(lockStart == false);
73      totalFutureRelease = total;
74  }
```

 The code meets the specification

## Formal Verification Request 11

set\_beniciary

 28, Apr 2019

 37.96 ms

Line 120-124 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
120  /*@CTK set_beniciary
121      @tag assume_completion
122      @post msg.sender == owner
123      @post __post.beniciary == b
124  */
```

Line 125-127 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
125  function set_beniciary(address b) auth public {
126      beneficiary = b;
127  }
```

 The code meets the specification

## Formal Verification Request 12

dayFor

 28, Apr 2019

 12.72 ms

Line 168-171 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
168     /*@CTK dayFor
169      @post block.timestamp < startTime -> __return == 0
170      @post block.timestamp >= startTime -> __return == (block.timestamp - startTime)
171          / 86400 + 1
172 */
173
174
175
```

Line 172-175 in File lock\_linear.sol

```
172     function dayFor() view public returns (uint) {
173         uint timestamp = block.timestamp;
174         return timestamp < startTime ? 0 : (timestamp - startTime) / 1 days + 1;
175     }
```

 The code meets the specification